

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,354	05/10/2007	Richard M. Wright	059742-5002	2805
9629 MORGAN LE	7590 04/30/2010 WIS & BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			BASQUILL, SEAN M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,354	WRIGHT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sean Basquill	1612		

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Sean Basquill	1612						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPE 1 133(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MORTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MORTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Faire to epily within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (SU U.S.C. § 133). Faire to epily within the set or extended period for reply with the system of the supplication to become ARANDONED (SU U.S.C. § 133). Faire to exply within the set or extended period for reply with the system of the supplication to become ARANDONED (SU U.S.C. § 133).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	– action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is					
·	.,,							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or each								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the case specificant drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correctio	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage					
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S300) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate						

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction Requirement

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- Claims1-20, drawn to methods of modulating XOR activity in leukocyte and leukocyte precursor cells, classified in class 424, subclass 617.
- Claims 22-25, drawn to methods of modulating cytokine-induced inflammation, classified in class 435, subclass 189.

As set forth in Rule 13.1 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), "the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept." Moreover, as stated in PCT Rule 13.2, "where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features." Furthermore, Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art."

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of Group I is the treatment of inflammatory reactions by administration of an XOR inhibitor. The treatment of

inflammatory reactions by administration of an XOR inhibitor of claim 3 does not present a contribution over the prior art. As disclosed in Ernani Rhoden, et al, Protective Effect of Allopurinol in the Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion in Uninephrectomized Rats, 35 GEN.

PHARMACOL. 189 (2002), the treatment of ischemia-reperfusion injury by administration of the XOR inhibitor allopurinol of instant claim 3 is anticipated. As such, Group I does not share a special technical feature with the instant claims of Group II. Therefore, the claims are not so linked within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept, and unity between Groups I and II is broken.

In addition to the reasoning outlined above, Claims 1-20 recite multiple inventions, as the methods include the treatment of patentably distinct patient populations among those listed in Claims 3, 12, and 18. For example, a patient experiencing chronic heart failure represents a patentably distinct patient population than that represented by patients experiencing diabetes, pancreatic inflammation, Crohn's disease, uveitis, and so on through the entire list of diseases provided. Applicants, if the Claims of Group I are elected, are further required to restrict the Claims to the treatment of one particular disease from among those listed in Claims 3, 12, and

 Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter.
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

In the instant case, the inventions as provided require different fields of search, as searches for methods of administering XOR inhibitors are unlikely to result in the discovery of art relevant to the claimed methods of treating cellular subsets then having them serve as treatment agents. Likewise, art relevant to the treatment of, for example, chronic heart failure is unlikely to result in the discovery of art relevant to the treatment of uveitis. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be

Application/Control Number: 10/573,354

Art Unit: 1612

considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean Basquill whose telephone number is (571) 270-5862. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, between 8AM and 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sean Basquill Art Unit 1612

/Jeffrey S. Lundgren/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639